

8. Introduction to C_p and $C_p(X, 2)$

If X and Y are spaces, then Y^X is considered with the Tychonoff product topology. Points of Y^X are treated as functions from X to Y . The subspace of Y^X consisting of all continuous functions is denoted by $C_p(X, Y)$. The basic open sets in $C_p(X, Y)$ are of the form

$$W(x_1, \dots, x_k, U_1, \dots, U_k) = \{g \in C_p(X, Y) : g(x_1) \in U_1, \dots, g(x_k) \in U_k\}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and U_1, \dots, U_k are open sets in Y .

Proposition 1. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.1) *If \mathcal{B} is a base of Y , then $\{W(x_1, \dots, x_k, U_1, \dots, U_k) : x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{B}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a base of $C_p(X, Y)$.*

In the case $Y = \mathbb{R}$, $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is denoted simply by $C_p(X)$. The basic open sets in $C_p(X)$ may be taken of the form

$$W(f, x_1, \dots, x_k, \varepsilon) = \{g \in C_p(X) : |g(x_i) - f(x_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

where $f \in C_p(X)$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

In the case $Y = 2$ (or Y = a discrete space of larger cardinality), the basic open sets also take some specially simple form. Let \mathcal{F}_X be the set of all finite functions from X to 2 (that is, functions whose domains are finite subsets of X .) For $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_X$, put

$$W(\varphi) = \{g \in C_p(X, 2) : g|_{\text{dom}(\varphi)} = \varphi\}.$$

Alternatively, for $f \in C_p(X, 2)$ and a finite $A \subset X$, put

$$W(f, A) = \{g \in C_p(X, 2) : g|_A = f|_A\}.$$

Proposition 2. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.2) *If $Y \subset Z$, then $C_p(X, Y)$ is a subspace in $C_p(X, Z)$. Moreover, if Y is closed in Z , then $C_p(X, Y)$ is closed in $C_p(X, Z)$.*

Proposition 3. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.3) *$C_p(X, \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\})$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\prod\{C_p(X, Y_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$.*

Proposition 4. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.4) *Let $X = \sum_{\oplus} \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a discrete topological sum. Then $C_p(X, Y)$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\prod\{C_p(X_\alpha, Y) : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$.*

Proposition 5. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.5) *$C_p(X)$ is a locally convex linear topological space over \mathbb{R} .*

Proposition 6. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.6) *$C_p(X)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^X .*

Say that X is *weakly zero-dimensional* if every two distinct points of X can be separated by clopen sets.

Proposition 7. *If X is weakly zero-dimensional, then for every Y , $C_p(X, Y)$ is dense in Y^X .*

- In particular, if X is weakly zero-dimensional, then $C_p(X, 2)$ is dense in 2^X , $C_p(X, \omega)$ is dense in ω^X , etc. Henceforward, we consider $C_p(X, 2)$ or $C_p(X, \omega)$ only for weakly zero-dimensional X .
- Can Proposition 7 be conversed?
- Is there a weakly zero-dimensional space which is not zero-dimensional? Equivalently: let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be two topologies on X such that $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_2$, Suppose (X, \mathcal{T}_1) is zero-dimensional. Must (X, \mathcal{T}_2) be zero-dimensional?

Proposition 8. ([Arh], Corollary 0.3.7) $c(C_p(X)) = \omega$ for every X .

Proposition 9. If Y is separable, then $c(C_p(X, Y)) = \omega$ for every weakly zero-dimensional space X .

Proposition 10. ([AP], p. 300 of the Russian edition, No 133) If a C.C.C. space X is paracompact, then X is Lindelöf.

(Hint: paracompact \Leftrightarrow every open cover has a σ -discrete open refinement.)

Proposition 11. ([Arh], remark after Corollary 0.3.7) $C_p(X)$ is paracompact iff $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf.

- The same is true for $C_p(X, Y)$ when X is weakly zero-dimensional and Y separable.
- Show that in general $C_p(X, Y)$ may be paracompact but not Lindelöf.
- Suppose all finite powers of Y are Lindelöf and $C_p(X, Y)$ is paracompact. Must $C_p(X, Y)$ be Lindelöf?

Proposition 12. ([Arh], Theorem 1.1.1) $|X| = \chi(C_p(X)) = w(C_p(X))$.

Proposition 13. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.14) Every uncountable regular cardinal is a precaliber of $C_p(X)$.

- (1) The same is true for $C_p(X, Y)$ whenever X is weakly zero-dimensional and Y separable.
- (2) If X is discrete, then $C_p(X, Y) = Y^X$.
- (3) If both X and Y are countable, first countable, and do not have isolated points, then X and Y are homeomorphic (to each other and to \mathbb{Q} , the space of rational numbers.)
- (4) If X is a (infinite) zero-dimensional compact space, then $|C_p(X, 2)| = w(X)$. In particular, if X is a second countable zero-dimensional compact space, then $C_p(X, 2)$ is countable.
- (5) If X is pseudocompact, then $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_p(X, [-n, n])$ and $C_p(X, \omega) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_p(X, n)$.
- (6) If X is a second countable zero-dimensional compact space, then $C_p(X, \omega)$ is countable.
- (7) By the way, the previous result provides an alternative proof of the special case of Hewitt-Marczewski-Pondiczery theorem: if Z is separable, then Z^c is separable. Instead of Z^c , consider Z^K where K is some zero dimensional second countable compact space (for example, the Cantor set $K = 2^\omega$). Let D be a dense countable subspace in Z . Let \mathcal{D} be the discrete topology on D . Then $C_p(K, (D, \mathcal{D}))$ can be regarded as a (dense) subspace in Z^K .
- (8) If X countably infinite and compact, then $C_p(X, 2)$ and $C_p(X, \omega)$ are homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} .

A space Z is *perfectly \varkappa -normal* if every regular closed set is a zero-set.

Proposition 14. *For every τ , \mathbb{R}^τ is perfectly \varkappa -normal.*

Proof: Let U be open in \mathbb{R}^τ . Extract a maximal family \mathcal{V} of pairwise disjoint basic open sets contained in U . Then \mathcal{V} is at most countable. For every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there is finite $K_V \subset \tau$ such that $\pi_{K_V}^{-1}(\pi_{K_V}(V)) = V$. Put $K = \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} K_V$. Then K is at most countable. We have $\pi_K^{-1}(\pi_K(\cup \mathcal{V})) = \cup \mathcal{V}$ and thus $\pi_K^{-1}(\pi_K(\overline{\cup \mathcal{V}})) = \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}}$. But $\cup \mathcal{V}$ is dense in U , so $\overline{\cup \mathcal{V}} = \overline{U}$. Therefore $\pi_K^{-1}(\pi_K(\overline{U})) = \overline{U}$. Now, $\pi_K(\overline{U})$ is a closed set in a second countable space, thus a zero-set. There is a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi_K(\overline{U}) = h^{-1}(0)$. Then $\overline{U} = (h \circ \pi_K)^{-1}(0)$. \square

Proposition 15. *A dense subspace in a perfectly \varkappa -normal space is perfectly \varkappa -normal.*

Proposition 16. ([Arh], Proposition 0.3.16) *$C_p(X)$ is perfectly \varkappa -normal.*

- Two disjoint regular closed sets in a perfectly \varkappa -normal space can be separated.
- A closed set in a perfectly \varkappa -normal space does not have to be a zero set.